Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,851	JENNINGS, WILLIAM EDWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist	1743	

All Participants:

(1) *Arlen Soderquist*.

(2) *Philip Summa*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *13 September 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 37 to correct a dependency problem. Applicant agreed to the amendment and authorized an examiner's amendment to make the change.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)